The Post-Communist Mafia State as a form of criminal state

I. Why Mafia State?

In the last decades, **researches have not broken off with the categories designed to characterize the institutional systems of liberal democracy.** Newly created categories like "illiberal democracy,"¹ "electoral democracy,"² "defective democracy,"³ or "semiauthoritarianism"⁴ simply apply appropriate suffixes, by which they reflect the logic of **transitology**. Transitology appears not only as a transformation of social systems but also as a reference to its own literal meaning: that these systems are underway and form different models according to the rate of their distance or deviation from liberal democracy. **The fraudulent nature of this approach** comes from that it presupposes the universality of the logic of the dynamics of liberal democracy and fails to notice qualitatively different logics and dynamics of regimes. It relegates constituent phenomena of the system to a secondary category of importance and marks defining traits as mere deviancies that are surmountable and are to be surmounted.

In post-communist regimes the four most important constituent phenomena, which the proposed new analytical framework must be built on and correspond with, are the following:

- *rudimentary or no separation of spheres of social action*, which distinguishes postcommunist countries from the Western world at their very bases;⁵
- *the privatization process being a matter of creating the property owners*, following that post-communist regimes started off from a state monopoly of property;⁶
- *operation of executive power in informal organizations according to a patron-client system*, which is a typical form of power relationship in post-communist countries;⁷
- *"privatization" of public authority*, following that the more patronal the politics is, the less a separation will be observed between the rulers and the ruled assets—using Max Weber's categories.⁸

¹ Fareed Zakaria, "The Rise of Illiberal Democracy," *Foreign Affairs*, November 1, 1997, https://www.foreignoffairs.com/articles/1007_11_01/rise_illiberal_democracy

https://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/1997-11-01/rise-illiberal-democracy.

² Marc Morjé Howard and Philip G. Roessler, "Liberalizing Electoral Outcomes in Competitive Authoritarian Regimes," *American Journal of Political Science* 50, no. 2 (April 1, 2006): 365–81, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2006.00189.x.

³ Wolfgang Merkel, "Embedded and Defective Democracies," *Democratization* 11, no. 5 (2004): 33–58, https://doi.org/10.1080/13510340412331304598.

⁴ Marina Ottaway, *Democracy Challenged : The Rise of Semi-Authoritarianism* (Washington, D.C. : Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, 2003).

⁵ Claus Offe, "Political Corruption: Conceptual and Practical Issues," in *Building a Trustworthy State in Post-Socialist Transition*, ed. János Kornai and Susan Rose-Ackerman, Political Evolution and Institutional Change (Palgrave Macmillan US, 2004), 77–99, https://doi.org/10.1057/9781403981103_5.

⁶ Bálint Magyar, ed., "Towards a Terminology for Post-Communist Regimes," in *Reconceptualizing Post-Communist Regimes*, Under publication (CEU Press, 2017).

⁷ Henry E. Hale, Patronal Politics – Eurasian Regime Dynamics in Comparative Perspective

⁽Cambridge: Cambridge Universitiy Press, 2015).

The political and sociological effects of these phenomena, most importantly **autocratic tendencies and widespread corruption, have been noted by scholars** ⁹ but have either been regarded, by the above mentioned transitologists, as deviant side effects or were arbitrarily put into the center of analytical frameworks without taking other elements and their relative positions into account. For examples, some scholars have moved to sociological factors and directly link issues of power concentration and wealth accumulation, creating categories like "clientelist regime,"¹⁰ "crony capitalism,"¹¹ and "kleptocracy."¹² To post-communist systems, though, these categories are misleading. "Clientelist," as an adjective, **does not express the illegitimacy of the relationship**; the term "crony," in the context of corrupt transactions, **assumes parties or partners of equal rank**. And as for the arrangement connoted by the term "kleptocratic," the term there **does not generally imply an aggressive takeover of property** nor a system based on permanent and monopolized patron-client relations of subservience.

To remedy these problems, I offer a framework of categories which breaks away from the underlying presuppositions of the transition paradigm. It does not simply change the words used to label the regimes but also conceptually reestablishes its components accordingly. It takes into account the four above mentioned constituent phenomena and understands the above mentioned autocratic tendencies and widespread corruption as fundamentals, and not side effects, of post-communist regime development and operation. The new, multi-level analytical framework puts the above mentioned relational economy and post-communist autocracy in its center, for the detailed description of which it features a coherent system of categories, defined in context and covering the relevant—economic and political—layers holistically.

However, if we want to understand the nature of corruption as a governance regime we have to clearly distinguish between three levels of corruption.

The first level is the simplest, the so-called day-to-day corruption, which is characterized by scattered, sporadic face-to-face corruption transactions, involving the players of economy and of public authority.

The second level is when corruption vertically reaches the higher layers of governance and these are not only occasional transactions, but show the sign of regular nature. The cooperation of players becomes more complex not only on the side of corruption supply, but also on the side of corruption demand, namely that the corruption partners on the side of economy are in many cases oligarchs or criminals of the organised underworld.

https://doi.org/10.1080/10758216.2002.11655993.

⁸ Max Weber, *Economy and Society: An Outline of Interpretative Sociology*, ed. Guenther Roth and Claus Wittich (University of California Press, 1978).

⁹ Andrzej Cieślik and Łukasz Goczek, "On the Evolution of Corruption Patterns in the Post-Communist

Countries," *Equilibrium (1689-765X)* 10, no. 1 (March 2015): 33–53, https://doi.org/10.12775/EQUIL.2015.002; Åse Berit Grødeland and Aadne Aasland, "Fighting Corruption in Public Procurement in Post-Communist States: Obstacles and Solutions," *Communist and Post-Communist Studies* 44 (January 1, 2011): 17–32,

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postcomstud.2011.01.004; Rasma Karklins, "Typology of Post-Communist Corruption," *Problems of Post-Communism* 49, no. 4 (July 1, 2002): 22–32,

¹⁰ Luis Roniger, "Political Clientelism, Democracy and Market Economy," *Comparative Politics* 36, no. 3 (April 2004): 353–75.

¹¹ David C. Kang, *Crony Capitalism: Corruption and Development in South Korea and the Philippines* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2002).

¹² Karen Dawisha, Putin's Kleptocracy: Who Owns Russia? (New York: Simon & Schuster, 2014).

(We need to distinguish between the above mentioned two groups: while criminal organizations carry out illegal "economic" activities supported by an illegitimate access, oligarchs on the contrary usually are conducting lawful economic activities, but mostly with an illegitimate access.)

This level is known today as the realm of state capture, because we can speak about this phenomenon when only certain segments of the public authority are captured and not the governmental structure in its entirety.

Within this level the political competition may still go on, governmental change is still possible under constitutional circumstances, and the oligarchs are still maintaining their relative autonomy, as they are not tied infinitely to certain political actors. Both sides can relatively freely enter and leave the corruption transactions.

The organizational criminology refers to this stage as state crime, which can take the form of corporate_-facilitated state crime or state_-facilitated corporate crime, depending <u>on</u> who is the dominant or initiating actor.

In the case of the *third* level it is not appropriate to talk simply about state crime as the phenomenon that we see already is rather a criminal state. It is not any more the oligarchs or the organized underworld capturing the state, but a political enterprise, the "organized upperworld" captures the economy, including the oligarchs themselves. This is what we can witness *in some post-communist countries*: e.g. Hungary within the EU, Montenegro on the Balkans, Russia, Azerbaijan and some Central-Asian countries of the former Soviet republics. This level is possible when two conditions are met the monopolization of power by one political actor, accompanied by the systematic surrendering of the institutions of checks and balances. The second condition is the lack or practical non-existence of private property when regime changes occured and the extensive distrust as privatisation happened in these countries afterwards.¹³

The emerging post-communist criminal states, where the governance bears the features of a criminal organization, can be described as *post-communist mafia states.*¹⁴ That is none else but the privatised form of a parasite state. In this case the central bodies of the state itself operate in concert as a criminal organization, as the organized upperworld.

Let us shortly summarize the *basic features of the corrupt criminal state*:

1. The concentration of political power and the accumulation of wealth of the adopted political family (the new clan-type form of the ruling elite) occur in unison. Public benefit becomes subordinated to private interests not occasionally but permanently, and in a manner that influences political decision-making in a fundamentally determinant, systematic way.

¹³ Irina Denisova et al., "Who Wants To Revise Privatization? The Complementarity of Market Skills and Institutions," *The American Political Science Review* 103, no. 2 (2009): 284–304.

¹⁴ Bálint Magyar, *Post-Communist Mafia State: The Case of Hungary* (Budapest: CEU Press, 2016); Bálint Magyar, ed., *Reconceptualizing Post-Communist Regimes*, Under publication (CEU Press, 2017); Bálint Magyar and Júlia Vásárhelyi, eds., *Twenty-Five Sides of a Post-Communist Mafia State* (Budapest: CEU Press, 2017).

- 2. *As a consequence of the change of the political elite, the alternation and systematic replacement of the economic elite takes place as well* and these changes are not driven by the instruments of democracy and market economy.
- 3. With the legalized instruments of state monopoly of coercion, *the mafia state coercively extracts private fortunes*—sometimes indirectly through *different forms of nationalization*—to serve its own interests, and redistributes this amongst clients of the adopted political family.
- 4. The corruption of the organized criminal upperworld is neither a matter of incidental—even sporadic—back-door dealing, nor an occasional irregularity or deviance, but a centrally directed and rationally transacted plunder, a centrally carried out collection of protection money. For in the organized criminal upper world, *the concentration of power and the increase in wealth of the adopted political family cannot be operated in disjoined systems*. But while the traditional mafia reaches its objectives through blackmail, intimidation and open violence, the spheres of influence in the mafia state can be shaped by the quasi-lawful instruments of coercion accomplished by public authorities.
- 5. The *key players* in this type of the corrupt criminal state:
- a. The *poligarch* is someone who uses his/her legitimate political power to secure illegitimate economic wealth—while his political power is visible, his economic power remains hidden. The poligarch manages his family business in the form of a political venture.
- b. The *oligarch* is someone who from more or less legitimate economic wealth builds political power for himself—in this case his economic power is visible, while the political power, if exists at all, remains hidden.
- c. The *front man* is someone who has no real power, neither in politics, nor in the economic sphere, but is a bridge over the gap between the real nature of power and its required legitimacy. So he formally serves as middleman between the legitimate and illegitimate spheres for the public.
- *d.* The *corruption broker* brings the partners of the corrupt transaction together in the role of mediator or expert lawyer. When the monopoly of political power is created, the criminal state surrenders the corruption brokers to the adopted political family in a strict order.
- 6. **Decisions are taken outside the competence of formalized and legitimate bodies** of democratic institutions, and brought in the topmost, tightly knit informal circle of the adopted political family.
- 7. Formalized and legal procedures of governance give way to arbitrary actions of disposition. The head of the executive power does not govern, but illegitimately disposes of the country as if he owned it. State institutions, including the parliament, the government, the tax offices and the prosecutor's office do no more than rubberstamp and attend to the bookkeeping. They become the institutions of the politically selective law enforcement. The *"law of rule"* substitutes for the *"the rule of law."* Proper jurisdiction is replaced by an arbitrary practice of justice. Legislation is no longer the field of lawful, normative regulations that are valid for all and brought to bear upon all equally, but where laws are tailored to fit the needs of those in power. *Equality before the law has been replaced by inequality after the law.*
- 8. In place of legally protected autonomous positions, a *patron-client chain of vassal relationships* comes into being, which results the liquidation of the grounds of individual autonomy and the forcing of existences into an order of dependences.

- **9.** This new form of vassal dependency should not be called feudal or patrimonial, because *the material nature of power and its formal legitimacy do not converge*. The gap between them is bridged by state coercion and hypocrisy using quasi-democratic procedures by restricting civil rights, the freedom of press and manipulating electoral democracy. It is neither a liberal democracy nor a dictatorship.
- 10. *The mafia state is not ideology driven*. Rather, it builds on various ideological templates that suit its political agenda. While inconsistent in terms of public policy expertise, emotionally it remains consistent. This is also its strength: it resists a rational critique. The coherence of its values is ensured by the *cultural model of the dominance of the head of the patriarchal family.*

II. The Criminal Character of the Regime

The organizational criminology has systematized criminal acts according to the type of organization that commits them. David O. Friedrichs makes a differentiation between corporate crimes and state crimes.¹⁵ However, government and business may occasionally collaborate, and even directly encourage and assist each other in committing certain crimes. Three separate categories follow from this: state-facilitated corporate crime, corporate-facilitated state crime, and state-corporate crime, which occurs when the two act together on an equal basis. "*Governmental crime* — or crime that occurs within the context of government — is the principal cognate form of white-collar crime. *State crime* (or crime of the state) is macro-level harm carried out on behalf of the state or its agencies; *political white-collar crime* is crime carried out by individuals or networks of individuals who occupy governmental positions and seek economic or political advantage for themselves or their party."¹⁶

Yet not only such a thing as *state crime* exists, but also a *criminal state*, which is a state that systematically, deliberately, and perniciously violates and impairs the fundamental rights of its citizens. Within such a state, both the various economic entities that depend on public procurements and tenders, and the civil society organizations—that in reality function as political puppets and serve the interests of power—are interwoven very tightly within the state and government. In such cases, those involved in corrupt activities and those in a repressive regime are connected to each other in manifold ways.¹⁷ Nevertheless, it is worth classifying these potential states according to their main criminal activity. Consequently, one can differentiate between a "*criminal state* with a central project of a crime against humanity;" a "*repressive state* with a core project of

16

15

17

¹⁷ David O. Friedrichs, *Trusted Criminals* (Belmont: Wadsworth Publishing, 2010), 132-

158.

¹⁵ David O. Friedrichs, *Trusted Criminals* (Belmont: Wadsworth Publishing, 2010).

David O. Friedrichs, "Transnational Crime and Global Criminology: Definitional,
Typological, and Contextual Conundrums," *Social Justice* 34, no. 2 (2007): 9.
<u>http://www.socialjusticejournal.org/archive/108_34_2/108_02Friedrichs.pdf</u>

systematic denial of basic rights to citizens or some group of citizens;" a "*corrupt state* with systematic looting of the state for the benefit of the leadership and relatives or associates of the leadership;" and finally a "*negligent state* characterized by a basic failure to alleviate forms of suffering that the state could address."¹⁸ A criminal state, of course, may be characterized by different combinations of the "state projects" listed above.

1. Hungarian law on criminal organizations

According the Hungarian Criminal Code, "criminal organization: a group of three or more people, formed for an extended period of time and acting in concert, with the objective of (...) intentionally perpetrating criminal offenses."¹⁹ In applying this law, "acting in concert" means that the members of the criminal organization "share tasks related to criminal activities," which "obviously presumes prior planning, and a certain degree of direction and organization." A legal harmonizing resolution by the Supreme Court of Justice in 2005²⁰ also provides guidance on understanding the functioning of a criminal organization for different specific trial situations, as follows:

- a criminal organization is qualitatively different from simply individuals acting together; the *criminal organization itself has to be formed for an extended period of time, and must act in concert*;
- "acting in concert" is a conceptual component of the *criminal organization*, which, in terms of content, is none other than the *mutually-reinforcing effects on those acting in it*; however, the existence of acting in concert does not follow from being in direct contact with actors in a criminal organization, nor specific knowledge of other actions or the identity of other actors; behavior as *a member of a criminal organization* can only be attributed to a perpetrator who has engaged in activities in a criminal organization formed by a division of functions, and in a manner based on superiors and subordinates, with full knowledge of the organization, and collaborated while in constant contact with its members;
- the existing provisions of the Criminal Code do not distinguish among the *hierarchy* (or "posts") *of actions within the criminal organization* in terms of their activity or intensity, as these conditions are only considered during the sentencing phase;
- a person outside of a criminal organization does not become a member of it by receiving a contracted job from the organization, as integration into said

19	http://net.jogtar.hu/jr/gen/hjegy	_doc.cgi?docid=A1200100.TV, 459. §(1)

20

Resolution 4/2005 by the Criminal Legal Section of the Supreme Court of Justice.

¹⁸

¹⁸ David O. Friedrichs, "Transnational Crime and Global Criminology: Definitional, Typological, and Contextual Conundrums," *Social Justice* 34, no. 2 (2007): 10.

http://www.socialjusticejournal.org/archive/108_34_2/108_02Friedrichs.pdf

¹⁹

²⁰ <u>http://www.lb.hu/hu/print/joghat/42005-szamu-bje-hatarozat</u>

organization requires knowledge of the organization's inner workings and active involvement within it; a clear distinction must be made between substantively judging a criminal act committed as a member of a criminal organization, and *a criminal act committed on a contracted job from a criminal organization (or any of its members)*;

• if there is evidence that a criminal offense was linked to the operation of an actual criminal organization, or committed within the context of such, then due to the conditions it was carried out—particularly due to the nature of specific behavior presuming the prior or later *linked actions* of others, and due to events that are necessary and therefore likely to occur, it can be concluded that the action of the occasional perpetrator (participant) is recognized at the time it was carried out as being committed within a criminal organization.

It is a clear language. Although the legal harmonizing resolution grants a unified interpretation of human trafficking, prostitution, drug trafficking, and other classic activities of a similar nature in the organized underworld, neither the Criminal Code nor the definitions in the resolution exclude the possibility of applying these provisions in cases when a large part of the members of a criminal organization are leaders at the highest levels of public authority institutions. In fact, it does not even exclude this from being the element that moves and defines the criminal organization, which is not the organized underworld, but the organized upperworld itself.

2. The Palermo Protocols

The Palermo Protocols against transnational organized crime, adopted in 2000 by the United Nations and ratified by Hungary in 2006,²¹ also does not rule out the narrative that the struggle might not only take place between the organized groups in the underworld and representatives of state authority, but that the representatives of the state can themselves form the core of the criminal organization.

Following the Palermo Protocols, the Council of Europe's Group of specialists on organized crime (PC-S-CO) also defined the criteria that, when present, provide evidence of a *criminal organization*. Their definition includes both mandatory and optional criteria. As will be seen, the criteria used by the expert group to define the mafia, or the organized underworld, which also regulates Hungarian criminal law, may also be used to describe the organized upperworld, or the functioning of the mafia state. The Protocols distinguish between mandatory and optional criteria as follows:

Mandatory criteria:

- collaboration of three or more people;
- for a prolonged or indefinite period of time;
- suspected or convicted of committing serious criminal offenses;
- with the objective of pursuing profit and/or power.

²¹

²¹ Act CI of 2006 on the promulgation of the United Nations Convention against transnational organized crime, as established in Palermo on 14 December 2000.

Optional criteria:

- having a specific task or role for each participant;
- using some form of internal discipline and control;
- using violence or other coercive means suitable for intimidation;
- exerting influence on politics, the media, public administration, law

enforcement, the administration of justice or the economy by corruption or any other means;

- using commercial or business-like structures;
- engaged in money laundering;
- operating on an international level.

3. The mafia state as a type of criminal state²²

It should not be particularly difficult even for the lay reader to see that the mafia state conveniently fits into these criteria. "What is picking a lock compared to buying shares? What is breaking into a bank compared to founding one?" asks Mack the Knife in Brecht's *Threepenny Opera*. In terms of the mafia state, one might ask what law breaking is compared to passing legislation. What is robbery compared to the expropriation of property through laws and decrees? What is abuse committed by one's boss compared to centrally-planned purges? What is hacking a website to illegally depriving someone of their radio frequency? And one can keep going down the list across all areas of life, where it is evident that the institutions of public authority are not the guardians of legality and equality before the law, but just the opposite: institutionalized bodies serving the arbitrariness of personal interests.

The question is no longer how it should be interpreted in a legal sense when "three or more people collaborating"—unlike the presumably original expectations of Hungarian legislators, or the Council of Europe's Group of specialists—does not mean the underworld mafia, but the organized upperworld, sometimes even those with official duties. The question is how the machinery of justice can be put in motion at all in a mafia state, and how society and the immune system of public authority that has not yet paralyzed completely can be activated. The answer to this would naturally go beyond the "descriptive" and "understanding" genre of sociology.

The central figure in the criminal state is not an arbitrarily-structured power elite with an incidental culture, but in the case of the mafia state the adopted political family with powers granted by the patriarchal head of the family, which are then extended to the entire nation through illegitimate means by a supreme, narrow group of decision-makers, working as a non-formalized, non-legitimate body. In this case, the agent of action, *the criminal organization perpetrating criminal offenses, is the chief patron's court*

22

²² For more on classifying the public legal system as one that stretches the conceptual limits of a criminal organization, see Imre Vörös, "Alkotmányos puccs" Magyarországon, 2010–2014 ["Constitutional Coup d'état" in Hungary, 2010–2014] in *Magyar polip – posztkommunista maffiaállam*, ed. Bálint Magyar and Júlia Vásárhelyi (Budapest: Noran Libro Kiadó, 2014) [*The Hungarian octopus—The post-communist mafia state* 2], ed. Bálint Magyar and Júlia Vásárhelyi (Budapest: Noran Libro Kiadó, 2014) 69–96.]

itself, in which some members have senior-level public authority duties at the very top of the branches of power, including all of their key institutions. But there are also "advisory" members that have not been incorporated into the institutions of public authority, as well as trusted oligarchs and possibly their front men as well. Perhaps a dozen or two individuals make up the "polipburo" of the mafia state. (While the "politburo" was the top level body of the Bolshevik-type communist parties, Fidesz, the ruling party of the mafia state, has no politburo, its highest formal organ is the Presidium. The actual top power center is however an informal close network the virtual feelers of which are like arms of the *octopus*, called *polip* in Hungarian, -which was also the Hungarian title of the film series about the Sicilian mafia screened to wide acclaim in Hungary during the 1980s, titled in Italian *La piovra*.) The criteria for a criminal organization applies to them: "three or more people," "a group formed for an extended period of time and acting in concert" that has a "hierarchy" and "mutually-reinforcing effects on those acting in it," and includes "the objective of perpetrating criminal offenses," "dividing up tasks" required for this, and if necessary, "contracting" persons outside of the criminal organization.

From amongst isolated violations of the law, the contours of relationships in the mafia state are outlined by the *linked actions* of organized crime. These include acts that are unlawful in and of themselves (such as extortion, fraud and financial fraud, embezzlement, misappropriation, money laundering, insider trading, agreements that limit competition in a public procurement or concession procedure, bribery, bribery of officials, both the active and passive forms of these last two criminal acts, abuse of authority, abuse of a public service position, buying influence, racketeering, etc.) combined with acts that are not unlawful in and of themselves (such as motions submitted by independent parliamentary representatives, instigating tax audits, etc.).

3.1. Criminal organizations expropriating property – the example of an outdoor advertising company

A linked action may be made up of a wide range of variations on the aforementioned situations. Let us demonstrate the action of a state-sponsored criminal organization with the case of an outdoor advertising company, ESMA in the context of criminal law mentioned above. And so with ministerial collaboration, an offer to the owner of this particular outdoor advertising company is made to be purchased by a potential new owner belonging to the leading oligarchs of the adopted political family is also named; the business owner does not accept the offer, upon which the tax authorities appear at his door as a means of persuasion through non-physical violence. This still does not convince the owner of the desired company to rid himself of his property, upon which, again as a means of bloodless violence, an ad hoc legal amendment proposed by a parliamentary representative and adopted by the Parliament deprives the company of its concessionbased activities. The company's value begins to drop precipitously, and as a final step, a second amendment exempts his rival from any possible negative consequences stemming from the amendment that destroys the business in question. The entire operation takes place within a very short period of time. After the company is starved for several years, the owner sells his hopeless business, at which time the godfather's new, favorite oligarch then makes an offer for it at a moderately-depressed price. And as expected, the discriminatory legal provision that made the business impossible to run is also repealed by the Parliament, so that the new oligarch loyal to the godfather can operate his firm at full capacity. Unlike the traditional mafia, public authority in Hungary uses bloodless means to enforce its will.

This case bears the characteristics of the activities of a mafia state criminal organization. The actions:

- intentionally perpetrating criminal offenses (extortion, abuse of authority, etc.);
- acting in concert, as a wide range of the branches of power (ministerial, governmental control and law enforcement institutions, the legislature) and individuals (see the oligarchs, the chosen beneficiaries who change from time to time) are required to coordinate their actions according to a specific schedule;
- the members of the criminal organization constitute a hierarchical group, where those who comprehend the entire operation are isolated from those carrying out the actions, each person just one step lower in the hierarchy (such as public servants conducting tax inspections, or parliamentary representatives submitting legal amendment proposals);
- persons in the criminal organization mutually reinforce the effects of their actions, since they would not be able to reach their desired goal (expropriation of property) by acting independently.

3.2. Criminal organizations expropriating property – the example of slot machines and casinos

Since the political regime under study has been defined as a mafia state, it is only appropriate to illustrate the mechanism of change of ownership carried out by state coercion on the example of slot machines and casinos. The operation of the *slot machines* (the one-armed bandits) generating a tax revenue worth somewhere around 70 billion forint (220 million euro) was overseen on behalf of the authorities by the state-owned Szerencsejáték Zrt. It is worth examining the rearrangement of this branch of business step-by-step, as it was integrated into the political family's circle of interests:

Step one: The reregulation of the operation of slot machines primarily placed in catering industry premises took place in 2011, when the monthly tax per machine was raised from 100 thousand forint (330 euro) to five times that amount with an unexpected amendment motion in the parliament, and the operators were obliged to change their existing machines to server-based machines by October 2012. As a result of the measure, the operators handed in 60 percent of the slot machines within a month of the amendment being passed.²³ Their numbers decreased further over the next year: from 22 thousand to 2 thousand.²⁴

Step two: In October 2012 the operation of slot machines, as well as game rooms and electronic casinos was banned by means of an amendment pushed through parliament in the matter of a couple of days, only casinos were exempt, and allowed to continue operating them. The ban also affected the approximately one thousand businesses that had invested in the server-based slot machines in line with the amendment that had been

-0	
22	
23	http://fool.bu/gozdosog/o.folkoru.roblok_kiyogzoso_11230/1
	http://feol.hu/gazdasag/a-felkaru-rablok-kivegzese-1123941

23

24

24 <u>http://hvg.hu/itthon/20121002_Megszavaztak_a_nyerogepek_betiltasat</u>

introduced a year earlier. For according to the government "the earlier measures had only achieved their objective in part, trying to ensure that those who lived in the most disadvantaged situations did not dump their money into slot machines, while on the other hand, serious national security risks had also been raised in regard to the activities of those with interests in the gaming-industry."²⁵ The government intended to make up for some of the losses in tax revenue that followed from these actions by taxing online gambling.

Step three: The casinos were exempted from the ban on operation of slot machines, and the maximum number of machines that could be installed in one premise was set at 300. Then in 2013, the maximum number of casinos that could be operated in the country was set at 11.

Step four: "Amending the law on gambling in the middle of November 2013, parliament decided that the minister for national economy could sign concession contracts for the operation of at most five casinos without making a public tender, but taking an exceptionally high concession fee, with those contractors whom he considers reliable. [...] The other important change in the November amendment was that the gaming managers could deduct the amount of the concession fee from the tax on the games. The Las Vegas Casino, one of Andy Vajna's [a former Hungarian expat film producer in Hollywood] interests is best served by this change: thanks to the amendment he could pay 1.6 billion forint less to the state budget. In 2012 the Las Vegas Casino had paid 1.1 billion forint in gambling taxes at a rate of 30% on the net income of 3.8 billion forint, as well as 791 million forint as fee for the concession. Thus altogether 1.9 billion forint was paid to the state. According to the new regulations they should only have to pay about 300 million forint in taxes."²⁶

Step five: In May 2014, of the 11 casino concessions that can be issued, five in Budapest were granted by the ministry for national economy to Andy Vajna's Las Vegas Casino Kft., while two were issued to Gábor Szima's Aranybónusz 2000 Kft. for the eastern Hungarian cities of Debrecen and Nyíregyháza. All of these were issued in spite of the fact that the state-owned Szerencsejáték Zrt. had also applied for the casino operator concessions. It seems they had proved less "reliable." As previously recounted, Andy Vajna, the former film producer is the government commissioner who disposes with the state support for the other hand, had been involved in the gambling business earlier, he once owned the Debrecen football team, his role with the team now filled by his son. "According to the ministry of national economy they will pay 4 billion forint in concession fees and 1 billion forint in VAT towards the state budget."²⁷

25	25	Ibid.
26	26	
<u>http://h</u>	vg.hu/gazdasag/2	20131209 Tobbezer felkaru rablo lepi el Magyarorsz
27	27	http://www.origo.hu/gazdasag/20140506-a-kormany-het-kaszinora-adott-ki-
engede	lyt.html	inder a mongoing gazandag 201 10000 a Kormany not Ruszmora adott Ri

Step six: Yet the parliament, in parallel to distributing the casino concession, made it possible for the casino owners to deduct the concessions fee from the gaming tax, and even made it VAT exempt.²⁸

Step seven: "With the involvement of Andy Vajna and Gábor Szima, the large international companies operating online casinos and card game websites—such as PokerStars or Bet365—could legalize their presence in Hungary. This opportunity is made available to the businessmen close to the government by the amendment, which was handed in by the cabinet to parliament as part of the omnibus bill on changes to the tax regulations for the following year. One item of the proposal would make it possible for the concession fee that is to be paid on the gambling games, to be paid by someone other than the owner of the concession—a third party. This makes it possible to pay the fee from a foreign, perhaps offshore type of company, out of funds whose origin is not clean for example, and on top of this the owner of the permit is still allowed to deduct it from the gambling tax to be paid to the budget accounts. Furthermore, according to the proposal, online card game websites and casinos can only be operated by people who have Hungarian concession for the operation of casinos—i.e., currently Vajna and Szima."²⁹

Step eight: The taxes paid by the casinos owned by Andy Vajna and Gábor Szima—unlike tax regulations on retail units—"are based on self-declarations, because the National Tax and Customs Administration of Hungary does not really have an overview of the slot machines. On the one hand, the integrated online inspection device of the tax authorities was not fitted into these slot machines, which would have collected and recorded the data created in the course of its operation, and secondly there is no mention of the server-based network. So in this age of online cash registers the state is completely in the dark where the income of casinos is concerned."³⁰ While "various parties involved in this industry estimate that the income generated at Andy Vajna's five casinos in the capital should be around 15 billion forint."³¹

Step nine: All that is left is to launch the legislatively guaranteed money laundering machine called the Stability Savings Accounts which enables poligarchs, oligarchs and front men to deposit disposable laundered funds under state protection.

Step ten: Vajna is given a monopolistic grant for organizing online gambling as well.

28	28	
http://v	www.nap	i.hu/ado/varga_ezert_kap_milliardos_adokedvezmenyt_vajna.585449.html
29	29	http://nol.hu/belfold/vajna-a-neten-is-mindent-visz-1497253
30 31	30	http://www.vg.hu/gazdasag/felepult-a-vajna-birodalom-448456
51	31	Ibid.

This is how the adopted political family of the mafia state manages gambling and acquires casinos: expulsion, establishing monopoly, favoring friends in concessions, special tax benefits, state supported money laundering. And not a drop of blood has been spilled.

This case bears the characteristics of the activities of a mafia state criminal organization as well. The actions:

- intentionally perpetrating criminal offenses (extortion, abuse of authority, purchasing influence etc.), causing serious damages to law abiding companies that changed their machinery to server-based machines and causing massive losses in tax revenues directly by the uncommon and large scale tax amnesty and indirectly by not enforcing the use of electronic cashiers connected to the network of the National Revenue and Tariff Office. There is no positive societal goal behind this law and it is clearly discriminative for every other companies in the country had to introduce the costly electronic cashiers.
- acting in concert, as a wide range of the branches of power (ministerial, governmental) and individuals (the chosen beneficiaries) are required to coordinate their actions according to a specific time and sequence;
- the members of the criminal organization constitute a hierarchical group, where those who comprehend the entire operation are isolated from those carrying out the actions, each person just one step lower in the hierarchy (such as parliamentary representatives submitting legal amendment proposals);
- persons in the criminal organization mutually reinforce the effects of their actions, since they would not be able to reach their desired goal (expropriation of concessions) by acting independently.³²
- involvement in money laundering, because both PokerStars and Bet365 are offshore companies that could benefit from the introduction of Stability Savings Accounts.

3.3. Criminal organizations expropriating property – the example of tobacco shop concessions

In other cases the redistribution is not about possession of property itself, but a position from which tributes can be extracted, when the state taps the private sector under a second tax regime. But since according to the rationale of the system *the political family must be built, extended and fed on the middle and low levels as well*, always new segments in areas that were formerly operated sector-neutrally by the market, have to be occupied and repositioned as direct state tribute exactors of sorts.

This is what happened in the case of the rights to sell tobacco products, in the case of the so called *tobacco shop concessions*, when for the first time, on false health protection grounds—state monopoly was imposed on the retail of tobacco products, and once the previous small shop owners had been driven out of their means of making a living, the new clientele were provided higher profitability through legislative means. At the same time—having been stripped of their right to sell tobacco products, and so their businesses devalued—tens of thousands of rural, small food and newspaper shop owners

³²

³² <u>http://www.hir24.hu/belfold/2015/07/06/torvenyben-szabalyozta-az-online-kaszinojatekot-a-parlament/</u>

and other small property holders were ruined. In this case the mafia family had appeared in all of its organized structure and candid self-assurance. When it came to the distribution of large fortunes the deals between a counted number of actors took place behind closed—at times government—doors. In contrast, the tobacco shop concessions were run past the whole network of the adopted political family, reviewed by Fidesz-led municipalities and the rest of the government clientele. "Basically what is important is that the people chosen must be committed to the political right, [...] so the socialists don't win," said the Fidesz mayor of Szekszárd at the meeting where he and the Fidesz councilors reviewed the list of those applying for the tobacco shop concessions.³³

The case of tobacco shop concessions is a great demonstration of what typifies the mafia state, in part because this is not classic corruption, where many independent, small cases of corruption are carried through within the large application procedure in a decentralized and unsynchronized way, but to the contrary: as centrally planned by the adopted political family, a group of people are divested of their property-i.e., a concessionary right—legalized by a parliamentary amendment of law, and then comes the centrally directed robbery by selection of the new owners who belong to the family. The first phase of the process is also an example of market-acquiring nationalization, when it is not the property itself, the shop that is taken away, but the right to sell a range of products there. Obviously the case of the tobacco shop concession is not a bunch of individual "scams," but the coordinated functioning of the mafia state, which only aimed in small part to satiate the oligarchs of the adopted political family, largely being aimed towards its "small shareholders." Before the rearrangement of the retail market of tobacco products the guaranteed trading margin was 3 percent. The new law had already stipulated the margin at 4 percent, and following the manipulated selection process the parliament raised this to 10 percent with another amendment. In other words, the revenue generated from raising the levies on tobacco products-contradicting the declared ideological aims—is not directed into the health care system or prevention programs, but ensures the profitability of shops granted by the state to the lower ranks of the adopted political family. This guaranteed deal did not even serve the kind of social goals that go back to the praxis of the interwar period, when the state supported disabled war-veterans, war-widows, or war-orphans from the income of these concession rights. Of the 5,415 winning bids only 280 went to people with disabilities.³⁴ But the action did not leave the small food and convenience stores, especially in villages undisturbed, where 5% of them had to shut down in 2014—due to the major decrease in income, deprived from the right to sell tobacco.³⁵

The redistribution of tobacco retail rights was followed by the nationalization of the wholesale rights as well. János Bencsik, a Fidesz MP was disciplinarily penalized with a fine of 300 thousand forint (1,000 euro) for his vote against the amendment gave a

33

34

35

34

- 35
- http://hvg.hu/enesacegem/20150603_Tomegesen_zarnak_be_a_boltok_a_trafikok_m

³³

http://hvg.hu/itthon/20130509_trafik_Szekszard_Fidesz_hangfelvetel?utm_source=mandiner&utm_m_edium=link&utm_campaign=mandiner_201502

http://nepszava.hu/cikk/644749-hallo-itt-a-trafikmutyi-hangja-beszel

statement about this, as follows: "I would have very much liked to show my support for the third tobacco Act with my vote. It hung on very little. All that it would have required was for the tobacco trade to become a state monopoly indeed, and the profit it generates to serve the greater good. The version now accepted however offers the possibility for the state to pass on these rights, now in its possession, to a private company without a tender for bids. The legal formula I am against promotes the possibility of hunting for allowances. The hunting of allowances meaning, in a nutshell, when rather than producing value a given social-economic actor invests its resources in excluding other actors from certain market opportunities with the cooperation of the state."³⁶ Prophetic words, for indeed, "without a public tender, as the only applicant, British American Tobacco and Continental Group owned Tabán Trafik Zrt. were given the exclusive right to wholesale of tobacco by the government. The co-owned business of these two companies, shortly to be registered, would be supplying tobacco to all of the 6,300 Hungarian tobacco stores. [...] To our inquiry about why a public tender was not arranged for the state monopoly, [János] Lázár [minister for the Prime Minister's Office] replied that: in the case of public funds it is indeed usual to call for bids, however in this case 'there is no call for bids, because there are no public funds involved,' showing ministerial largess in overlooking the matter of a fee for the concession. [...] The success of Continental did not cause any surprise among market observers. The company from Hódmezővásárhely, with strong ties to the network around the governing party was not only one of the big winners of the tobacco shop tenders, but as a result of a small technical glitch it had also been revealed that earlier it had even participated in drafting the tobacco concessions law." 37 Meanwhile, "market experts estimate the expected profits of the 'national tobacco distributor' to come to between 5-12 billion forint (16-39 million euro), as it will not have competitors, and the government majority has even granted it exemption from local business tax. Meanwhile the concession fee is ridiculous: this year the co-owned company will have to pay 10 million forint (32,000 euro), and in the following year a 100 million forint (3,200,000 euro), while the concession fee of 600 million forint annually, which still seems symbolic compared to the fantastic deal they are getting, will only be expected from 2021 onwards."³⁸ (In parallel to the foregoing, the CEO of the state beneficiary Continental Tobacco Corporation, János Sánta—presumably upon request—has bought a 49 percent share of the publisher of the new pro-government daily, *Napi gazdaság*. "With his entry, a new media empire presumably more loyal to János Lázár is beginning to take shape, while Árpád Habony, chief councilor to the prime minister continues to build his own separate unit, the Modern Media Group, which seeks to occupy the field of tabloids and online content,"39 so these can challenge Lajos Simicska's media empire. [Lajos Simicska was the favorite top oligarch of Orbán until 2015.])

36		
	36	http://444.hu/2014/12/18/300-ezret-kell-fizetnie-a-fideszes-kepviselonek-aki-
haroms	szor-sem	-ugy-szavazott-ahogy-elvartak-tole/
37		
	37	http://nol.hu/gazdasag/valami-nagyon-gyanus-az-orbank-kormany-alomuzleteben-
<u>153952</u>	<u>25</u>	
	http://pi	ropeller.hu/itthon/3118393-kihuzta-gyufat-kormany-nemzetkozi-dohanycegeknel
38		
	38	http://nol.hu/gazdasag/habony-emberevel-erositenek-1541333
39		
	39	http://valasz.hu/uzlet/lazar-janos-dohanyos-embere-a-napi-gazdasag-uj-tulajdonosa-
113652	2	

After the revenues of tobacco trade have been diverted to the family network—when the situation seems propitious—under the cloak of some general health related ideology they can proceed along the same lines with trade in alcohol and medicine.

This case bears the characteristics of the activities of a mafia state criminal organization as well. The actions:

• intentionally perpetrating criminal offenses (extortion, abuse of authority, etc.);

• acting in concert, as a wide range of the branches of power (legislative, governmental control and Fidesz faction in the local governments) and individuals (to a smaller degree the oligarchs of the adopted political family, mostly the least significant beneficiaries of the current regime) are required to coordinate their actions according to a specific time and sequence;

• the members of the criminal organization constitute a hierarchical group, where those who comprehend the entire operation are isolated from those carrying out the actions, each person just one step lower in the hierarchy (the local organizers of the nationwide plan are presumably not overseeing the whole process, but the process itself definitely materializes in a local level);

• persons in the criminal organization mutually reinforce the effects of their actions, since they would not be able to reach their desired goal (the distribution of concessions in the adopted political family) by acting independently.

• the stalling to provide data of public interest and the destruction of the losing applications was definitely aimed at covering up corruption and the obstruction of investigative inquiries.

But the multitude of cases described above could also be discussed through a similar framework: starting from the issue of biased leases of state owned land to the concessions on slot machines, casinos or the retail sale of tobacco.

III. Classifying criminal organization actions

1. The nature of damage caused by criminal organization actions of the state, broken down by damage caused to either private or public parties:

• damage to public property and revenue:

➤ diverting potential state revenue to private parties (e.g. the gas deal between MVM [Hungarian Electricity Company] and MET Holding AG, related to István Garancsi, one of Orbán's closest oligarchs);

➢ forgoing potential tax revenue (e.g. forgiving billions of forints in tax debts without audits by the tax authority);

> diverting potential state dues to private parties (e.g. the residency bond, providing free entry to the EU—€250,000 per bond, in addition to a €29,000 fee, which is collected by some half-dozen firms close to Fidesz that are entitled to deal with it);

diverting state concessions to private parties (e.g. online gambling);

➤ expropriating leasing rights (e.g. on the basis of civil law, dispossession of rights to pre-lease state land that is rightfully due to private lessees);

 \succ diverting municipal or government real estate properties to individuals within the political family's sphere of interest at below-market values (e.g. the downtown Budapest real estate racket, which has given rise to alleged misappropriation);

 \succ illegitimately diverting tender funds to overpriced bidders within the political family's sphere of interest (e.g. the series of tenders won by Orbán's favorite oligarchs like Simicska, Mészáros, or Tiborcz [who is also Orbán's son-in-law]);

• damage to private property and income:

 \succ expropriating property (such as the dispossession of savings accumulated in private pension funds, but also includes the forced nationalization of the savings cooperatives and their subsequent transfer to third parties);

 \succ expropriating private enterprises (e.g. 300-400 private companies by media estimates, such as the case of ESMA, discussed above);

 ➤ introducing mandatory state concessions for private enterprise activities (e.g. retail and wholesale tobacco sales discussed above too);

 \succ expropriating state concessions and leasing rights (e.g. slot machines, allocating state land leasing rights to targeted members of the political family regardless of prior relationships with lessees or producers);

• *causing both public and private damage* (e.g. manipulating the concessions for slot machines and casinos)

2. Connectedness of the actions by a criminal organization:

• *single-staged*: a single-staged corrupt act can be understood as a simple corrupt transaction occurring between two parties that only involves a single deal. These acts fall within the scope of classical corruption with each representing a small amount of value, regardless of how many they are. The mafia state attempts to put these ad-hoc individual actions under its control.

• *multi-staged*: actions with multi-staged connectedness involve many institutions in the legislative and executive branches, and a complex cooperation between legislative acts and executive bodies may also be possible. This is much more typical of the everyday functioning of the criminal state, since by necessity, only these complex mechanisms are capable of realizing large-scale projects that rewrite market conditions, often fundamentally, implemented through the intertwining of government and business.

3. The institutional scope of managing corrupt transactions by a criminal organization:

• within one institution:

 \succ at the bureaucratic level: almost without exception, this coincides with single-staged, non-interconnected corrupt actions. Obviously, implementing corrupt plans that are complicated or applicable nationwide simply cannot be conducted at low levels of administration: the vertical structure of the relevant government institution must necessarily be involved.

 \succ complete vertical structure within the institution: it is inconceivable that corruption at certain central agencies, such as the suspected corrupt acts of the tax authority, including its well-known tax remissions on the order of billions of forints, would occur without the knowledge and approval of the entire vertical structure of the institution. In these specific cases, "equity", the original purpose of which would be to assist taxpayers in a tight situation with small tax debts, here appears as a means of abuse to increase the profit of the loyal major entrepreneurs.

• interinstitutional:

 \succ horizontally: when several institutions cooperate with one another, which is considered rare in any event, as in complex transactions require coordination from above.

 \succ vertically: due to the functioning of the Hungarian criminal state, as previously outlined, the vertical structure necessarily comes to the fore as the complexity of the analysed corruption transactions requires the highlevel coordination of the different steps of them.

4. Extent of the authority of the institutions involved:

• **local**: areas where the dominions of certain "tax renters" are paid out as actual remuneration—such as the cities of Hódmezővásárhely or Debrecen—are classic examples of relative autonomy from the center, their former mayors being closely linked to top of the political family. In a certain respect, the real estate racket in downtown Budapest can also be included here.

• *nationwide*: for example, anomalies surrounding the Paks nuclear power plant tender can be included here, as well as MET Holding AG's gas and oil deal with public company MVM that resulted in dividends of around 50 billion forint—most of which went to offshore companies.

• **local and nationwide**: classically included here are the land lease and tobacconist transactions that were centrally directed but carried out primarily at the local level, and without either central or local coordination, they would not have occurred.

5. Type of collaborating institutions according to their branch of power

- *legislative*: since 2010, the parliament passed a mass of custom-tailored laws that mostly served as a framework for any subsequent manipulation, as well as laws that generally support the functioning of the mechanisms of state corruption, such as:
- raising price limits on public procurements (thereby facilitating the feasibility of a higher degree of corruption in procurements);
- facilitating the undue classification of public interest data (under the pretext of national strategy and national security considerations);
- the law facilitating money laundering;
- > eliminating conflicts of interest as an obstacle in applying for tenders and subsidies;
- upholding the confidentiality of official asset declarations by the relatives of politicians;
- abusive disqualifying applicants from public procurement tenders, on occasion or for longer period.
- *executive* (public administration): the list of collaborating institutions ranges from central bodies (e.g. the tax authority), to municipalities and chamber associations.
- *justice*: selective law enforcement, in which the number of cases prosecuted on corruption-related charges has fallen to an unprecedented extent.
- any combinations thereof.

6. Statutory definition of crimes committed by a criminal organization:

extortion, fraud and financial fraud, embezzlement, misappropriation, money laundering, insider trading, bribery, bribery of officials (both the active and passive forms of these last two), abuse of authority, abuse of a public service position, buying influence, racketeering, etc.

Action by the criminal organization	Outdoor advertising company (ESMA)	Land leases	Slot machines, casinos, online betting	Tobacconist concessions
injured party	private sector	private + public sector	private + public sector	private sector
connectedness	multi-staged	multi-staged	multi-staged	multi-staged
institutional scope	interinstitutional (horizontal and vertical)	interinstitutional (horizontal and vertical)	interinstitutional (horizontal)	interinstitutional (horizontal and
	-			vertical)

Categorization of four cases of criminal organization acts under the mafia state

extent of the	Nationwide	local and nationwide	nationwide	local and nationwide
authority of				
the collaborating				
institutions				
type of collaborating	legislative,	legislative, executive	legislative,	legislative,
institutions accordin	executive	branches (ministries,	executive	executive branches
to their branch of	(ministries, tax	National Land Fund	branches	
power	authority),	Management	(ministries)	
	branches	Organization)		
applicable statutory	extortion, abuse	buying influence,	buying influence,	abuse of authority,
definition of crime	of authority,	racketeering, bribery of	racketeering,	abuse of a public
	abuse of a	officials (active and	bribery of officials	service position,
	public service	passive)	(active and	racketeering,
	position, buying		passive)	bribery of officials
	influence,			(active and passive)
	bribery of			
	officials (active			
	and passive)			

In most cases, there is no need even to run through the entire process, since the victims understand that the "offer" from the adopted political family, backed by the full arsenal of state power, "cannot be refused." And so businesses created through threats and extortion take the appearance of being voluntary, which will usually reduce the victim's losses if he can take the hint and is willing to reach an agreement. As with the mafia, in that the proportion of those who suffer physical violence following a "voluntary understanding" is minimal compared to those who pay protection money or offer their services, it is also usually sufficient for the mafia state just to display the range of illegitimate state coercion, accompanied by an offer for a "voluntary" deal. (Needless to say, achieving similar goals in a real dictatorship does not have to be so complicated, or done in a way that imitates the functioning of a democratic institutional system.)

As the institutionalized immune system of liberal democracy is neutralized, the process of socialization of obedience and submission advances forward. If the monitoring power of the public is restricted, if the chances to change the government are reduced by manipulating the electoral system, and if faith is effectively lost in the fair operation of forums for legal redress due to selective enforcement of the law, then the effect will be in the direction of acquiescence and accommodation. One can not help but notice that the Chief Prosecutor Péter Polt is also a part of the polipburo, a colluding member of the team, and so there is no means by which the machinery of legal redress or justice can be set in motion against the criminal organization of the polipburo. (In fact, in the course of selective law-enforcement, it is not only a question of who is not charged with a crime, so that they can be left to run or just continue to "work" obediently according to their instructions in the vassal order, but who is charged merely with criminal intent. Furthermore it is also about who faces a preliminary trial that was initiated by Hungarian prosecutors for protective purposes, so that this person can be "immunized" by the courts, and relieved from having to stand trial in front of international law enforcement agencies.)40

40

http://nol.hu/gazdasag/ujabb-botranyos-hangfelvetel-1469927

⁴⁰

As a result of this socialization process, the number of crimes reported between 2010–2013 for three types of criminal activities related to corruption, both active and passive forms of official bribery as well as racketeering, decreased to one-half to one-third of the amount in the preceding four years.⁴¹ One reason for this may be that "citizens were previously more likely to see the value of reporting crimes, or even that they had less fear of reprisals"⁴², meaning that reporting on others for a crime turns into reporting on oneself. But even more telling is that—as an illustration of selective law-enforcement—the number of crimes that were reported but later rejected by the authorities has tripled, and the rate of investigations that were started but then terminated has doubled.

IV. Conclusion

In my paper, I analyzed a set of phenomena related to a peculiar merger of spheres of social action in post-communist regimes. I understand this merger or the consequential economic and political system, identified as "mafia state," not as a deviant form of the Western types of market economy and democracy. Instead, it is treated as independent social settings with its own internal logic, dynamics, and actors. The mafia state is established via the parallel replacement of the political and economic elite for the benefit of the adopted political family, a single-pyramid patronal counterpart of liberal democracies' ruling elites. In the established system, trade is generally not based on voluntary cooperation of economic actors

"The following is from an audio recording published in the Polish liberal weekly Wprost on Monday. In it, Jacek Krawiec, President of the Polish petroleum company PKN Orlen, is in discussion with Treasury Minister Włodzimierz Karpiński and the latter's deputy, Zdzisław Gawlik. The meeting took place in January 2015 in Sowa and Friends, a restaurant in Warsaw.

Among other things, the petroleum company chief talked about his visit to Budapest and the discussions he conducted with Zsolt Hernádi, President and CEO of MOL, in this passage (quoted): Krawiec: Listen, I'll tell you something that proves how different our situation is from that of the Hungarians. I went to see Hernádi because he can not leave Budapest. I ask him, 'How many years are you going to get?' Relaxed and smiling, he says, 'Y'see, my lawyers realized that if this case goes to trial in any EU country and I am acquitted of the charges, then the verdict has to be recognized by every EU member state, letting me travel around Europe.' I ask him if the case will be tried in Hungary. He tells me it will. So I say, 'But then it may take two or three years.' And he says, 'We'll have a ruling in April.' Sitting next to him is this guy, the head of legal, a real self-important type named Ábel (referring to Ábel Galácz, who is not the legal director but the group-wide sales director). He (Hernádi) turns to him and says, 'Ábel, tell Jacek who the prosecutor is going to be for this trial in Hungary.' He says, 'My wife.' You see? Just imagine such a situation! His wife is the prosecutor, he gets an acquittal, and everything is taken care of. Can you imagine this happening over here?

Zdzisław Gawlik: Maybe it does happen and we just don't know about it.

Włodzimierz Karpiński: This is what Kaczyński dreams about, these are the kind of internal political conditions he would like."

41

⁴¹ Babett Oroszi and Balázs M. Tóth, "Polt Péter kinevezése óta meredeken zuhan a politikai korrupciós ügyekben indított büntetőeljárások száma" ["The Number of Prosecutions for Political Corruption Has Fallen Sharply Since the Appointment of Péter Polt"], *Átlátszó*, February 6, 2015,

http://atlatszo.hu/2015/02/06/polt-peter-kinevezese-ota-meredeken-zuhan-a-politikaikorrupcios-ugyekben-inditott-buntetoeljarasok-szama/

42

⁴² Ibid.

but it is initiated by the adopted political family, resulting in transfers of political services and economic goods. The oversight the adopted political family exercises is ensured through the bloodless, illegitimate coercion of the state which is transformed into **a criminal state** where, under the autocratic control of the chief patron, concentration of power and of ownership go hand in hand.

I use the notion of "criminal state" as a more general category for the mafia-type regimes. The notion of criminal state is embedded in the literature on criminal law as well as international treaties against mafias, such as the Palermo Treaty. Reconceptualizing the "mafia state" theory into the analytical framework of the "criminal state," a vast array of phenomena in post-communist regimes can be understood systemically, just as I showed it on the examples provided by the Hungarian regime of Viktor Orbán.